Case3:10-cv-03157-JSW Document69 Filed08/07/12 Page1 of 2

1	Kimberly E. Colwell, Esq. (SBN: 127604)		
2	kgilbert@meyersnave.com MEYERS, NAVE, RIBACK, SILVER & WILSON		
3			
4	555 12 th Street, Suite 1500 Oakland, CA 94607		
5	Telephone: (510) 808-2000 Facsimile: (510) 444-1108		
6	Attorneys for Defendants JUDGE JAMES G. BERTOLI; JUDGE ROBERT S. BOYD; SUPERIOR COURT OF		
7	CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SONOMA;	RT OF APPEAL, FIRST APPELLATE	
8	CALIFORNIA		
9			
10			
11	NATALIA A. SIDIAKINA,	Case No: CV 10-03157 JSW	
12	Plaintiff,	Case 1vo. C v 10-03137 70 w	
		DEFENDANTS JUDGE JAMES G. BERTOLI; JUDGE ROBERT S. BOYD;	
13	JAMES G. BERTOLI, JUDGE, in his official	SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SONOMA; COURT OF	
14	and personal capacity; ROBERT S. BOYD, JUDGE, in his official and personal capacity;	APPEAL, FIRST APPELLATE DISTRICT: PRESIDING JUSTICE IGNAZIO J.	
15	SUPERIOR COURT OF SONOMA COUNTY; CALIFORNIA COURT OF APPEAL, FIRST	RUVOLO AND JUDICIAL COUNCIL OF	
16	APPELLATE DISTRICT, DIVISION FOUR; IGNAZIO J. RUVOLO, PRESIDING	CALIFORNIA'S OPPOSITION TO PLAINTIFF'S EX PARTE APPLICATION	
17	JUSTICE, in his official capacity; STATE OF CALIFORNIA; JUDICIAL BRANCH OF	ORDER OVERRULING OBJECTIONS	
18	CALIFORNIA GOVERNMENT; and JUDICIAL COUNCIL OF CALIFORNIA,	AND DIRECTING DEFENDANTS TO SERVE A COPY OF ORDER	
19	Defendants.	ON PLAINTIFF	
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
1			

Defendants' Opposition to Plaintiff's Ex Parte Application [CV 10-03157 JSW]

Case3:10-cv-03157-JSW Document69 Filed08/07/12 Page2 of 2

1	Defendants Judge James G. Bertoli; Judge Robert S. Boyd; Superior Court of California,	
2	County of Sonoma; Court of Appeal, First Appellate District; Presiding Justice Ignazio J. Ruvolo	
3	and Judicial Council of California ("Defendants") hereby object to Plaintiff's Ex Parte	
4	Application entered by the Court on August 6, 2012 and apparently filed on May 29, 2012.	
5	Despite the requirements enunciated by F.R.C.P. § 5(a)(1) and this Court's prior Order requiring	
6	Plaintiff to serve Defendants with all filed documents (Court's December 16, 2011 Order – Docket	
7	at 38), Plaintiff continues to file documents without serving copies to Defendants. As a result,	
8	Defendants have not received a copy of Plaintiff's current Application, nor do they have any	
9	knowledge of the substance of Plaintiff's request therein. Pursuant thereto, Defendants object to	
10	Plaintiff's Application and request the Court decline to rule until such time as Plaintiff serves	
11	Defendants with copies of all such pleadings and provides the Court with an executed proof of	
12	service.	
13		
14	Dated: August 7, 2012 Respectfully submitted,	
15	MEYERS, NAVE, RIBACK, SILVER & WILSON	
16		
17	By: /s/ Kevin E. Gilbert Kevin E. Gilbert	
18	JOB GLI II I	
19	BOYD; SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SONOMA; COURT OF APPEAL,	
20	FIRST APPELLATE DISTRICT; PRESIDING JUSTICE IGNAZIO J. RUVOLO and JUDICIAL	
21	COUNCIL OF CALIFORNIA	
22	Defendant's objections are DENIED. The document in question was submitted to the Court on May 29, 2012, and was addressed in the Court's Order May 31, 2012	
23	(Docket No. 50.) The Court discovered that, inadvertently, the original was never	
24	filed in the Court's Docket, however, and thus the Court directed that it be filed in the record.	
25	The Court also determined that the matters therein were sealable.	
26		
27	efendants shall serve a copy of this Order on Plaintiff.	

Afrey Swhits

August 7, 2012

Defendants' Opposition to Plaintiff's Ex Parte Application [CV 10-157]

28